App.F.IX-4

192 5.-6

File No. 211-25

Previous References

Serial Nos. 1-12

Later references. 212-25-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for July 1926.

SUBJECT

Arrears of Land Revenue
in Mr Innes Taluq

No 67 dated 12.7.26 — To the Accy. Comr.

An advance of Rs 500/- may be paid to him

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

May

NOTES.

File No. 241-25

FILE HEADING.

Bonus to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer for his special in connection with the Determination of Mayt

From

The Mayavaram Court.

No. C. 226 of 22-23 Dated 6-1-26 Received

Diary No. 823	Enclos /	Spare Copies	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Subs. a note dt 30-12-25 subd by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer giving details as to how his time was employed on the special work since May 1922 & recommends that a bonus of Rs 5465, exclusive of Rs 750 already paid, may be sanctd to him -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's note narrates how he was employed since May 1922 upto date in connection with the work of determination and verification of balances at credit of individual major & minor institns

The Gr. Os alluded to in the note have been [illegible] put up & have been.

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No

& the amount of remuneration claimed by him for such work. This period is of 47 months ~~in~~ on which he was employed on special duty, is in addition to his special duty for a period of nine months from 26-7-21 to the end of April 1922 on a pay of Rs 225/- per mensem -
(vide G.O. No. 1-10/Mug 618-20-28-7-21 and subsequent orders extending the term till the end of April 22).

2 When Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was placed on special duty, it was never expected that the work would drag on like this, resulting in a heavy expenditure. Besides the bonus now claimed by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer & recommended by the Mag: Coll:, payment of bonus of one month's pay to each of the accountants employed on the work has been recommended - A bonus of Rs 500 was paid to 4 officials in G.O. No. 1362-3/Mug: 237-22-28/18 3.25.

3. The question of bonus to the Comptr's office staff amounting to Rs 1050, which has been approved the payment of ~~promised to be considered when the question of increase of work & pay of the staff is settled~~, has also to be decided now. ~~(vide letter no. 638/Mug 72-26-28-3-1924)~~

4. A communication from the Comptr in this matter has also been recd & will be submitted.
(Letter no. 1195/Jud B. & 20.1.26 from Comptr)

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

Serial No

5 The Special Duty Officer's work from May 1922 upto till now has been analysed in para 30 of his note and in para 32 of the note the question of bonus payable to him is left to be determined at the pleasure of Govt.

6. On the basis of the above analysis, the Mny: Comm. recommends the grant of a bonus of Rs 5465, in addition the of Rs 750 paid in advance - The bonus recommended includes Rs 1020, being the amount of compensation for loss of privilege leave for ~~about~~ 2 months & 8 days and Rs 170, being duty allowance for the above period.

7. If the amount of bonus to be paid to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer & the accountants working under him is decided, then the source from which it should be paid should be determined - The pay and D/A of Mr M. Iyer after his retirement were directed to be met from Mny: Surplus Funds, the exact items of which had to be fixed later, etc - (vide para 3 of G.O. No. 1-10/Mny 618-20-2 dt 1.7.21). The advance bonus of Rs 750 was also directed to be paid from the same source - (vide G.O. No. 1050-1/Mny 171-23-2 dt 6.2.24)

Subd for orders — Rev. Secy

27/1

27.1.26

27.1.26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

8. What was the cost incurred in working out the balances of the 5 years from 1st July 1920 in the Comptr's office ?

9. Mr. Mukherjee by his work has no doubt been indispensable, and the assessment of its money value would be made on the time taken. The quantum of work done would be a better guide perhaps.

h
29.1.26. 30/1

10. It will be seen from G.O. Nos. 729-30/Mis 37-24-8 21-11-24 that the compilation of the accts for 4 years from 1.7.20 was entrusted to the Comptr's office and a time limit of 8 months was fixed for the completion of the work. The estabt cost per month was Rs 145/- or Rs 1160 for 8 months, commencing from 1.12.24. For the compilation of the accounts for the year 1924-25, the time was extended by another 3 months (vide G.O. Nos. 1284-5/1-24-9 & 2-3-25). So the entire cost for the total period of 11 months is Rs 1495 or Rs 1500 in round figures. If necessary, in will be called for from the comptr also.

8 & 11 Despr. may also be called for from the Comptroller as to the total amt incurred from the Commencement on this special duty, both in the M.C. Office (i.e. Sp. Duty Office, & his Staff & their T/A) and in the Comptroller's Office for preparation of Companies.

1/2/26

including the bonus h

As suggested,

2-2-26.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

expect.

information as to expenditure in this respect may also be called for, from Comptr.

Rev. Secy/ h 1/2

13 As the question of bonus payable is to be settled only after the work entrusted Municipality. is completed, the information required by above min. if approved be called for in the meantime -

(8th member) h 2/2 M 2/2

83 2.2.26.

14 Pay- the papers may be sent to the Secretaries whether Draftg. duty on the works has been kept pending

dy 3/2 h 3/2 M 3/2

15. Two drafts, one to the Comptr and another to the Secy. Comte, are put up -

SD 4/2 — Sdh 4/2/26 — For approval

Rev. Secy/ h 4/2

165 5.2.26.

1st member h 4/2 M

dy 5/2

h/16.2.26 M 6/2

Appx. F. 111—2]

W.D. 0569—G

File No. of 192 .

General and Secretarial

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

2 To the Mug. Comr., Celtr no. D.o. 1015-J 8/2/26
Requests that the final report of the Special Duty officer may be subd without further delay.

3 To the Comptr to Govt.; Do. Celtr no 1016 J 8/2/26
Calls for a statt of the total cost incurred up to date on the work of determination of balances on which Mr M. Dyer was put.

4 From the Comptr., D o. letter no. 1299 J 13/2/26
Forwards the statt called for -

17. The total expenditure incurred under the four following heads is stated to be Rs 15,106-

Salaries	Travelling allce	Bonus	Contingency
11,212	Rs 2193	1650	51.

18 The amount now recommended to be paid to the Special Duty officer is Rs 5465-

19 The Comptr states that information about T/A if any paid to the officials of the Mug. Comr's office employed on the work subsequent to June 1922 is not available in his office & must be obtained from the Mug. Comr's office -

20. Mr M. Dyer's final report has not yet been recd -

21. Subd for orders -

18/2/26 JD — Though the whole of the Special duty has cost us Rs 15706 as per Statt submitted by the Comptr, the Special duty Officer has been paid

Note—Contn Sheet

[11—2]

File No. of 192 .

General an
Secret

Serial No.

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

paid Rs 4,788 in the shape of salaries, T/A and bonus for the special work he has been engaged on, a third of the whole expenditure. The special duty commenced on 27.7.21 and has lasted nearly 5 years and far exceeded the time limit fixed by the Spl. duty Officer.

(A) The amt. of Rs 5-4-6 s proposed to be given to Mr. Mudge seems to be rather high. It may be fixed once for all after the work is finished and final sts are received from him & finally accepted by Govt for publication. A remr is being sent to the M.C. to have the work expedited and completed & final accounts at once.

RA 18/2/26

Rev. Sec(y) 18/2

(Mr. Member) 19/2

(A) I agree.

2nd The final report shd be obtained from the M.C. by the 15th March

25/2

The file, with a draft D.O. to M.C. regarding is sent separately]

549 22.2

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,07
App. F. III

Customs and Revenue
Secretariat

Trust File No. 241 of 1925.

Serial No.	
	1/3 Draft submitted for approval. Rev. Sec'y 22/2/26 22/2
57	2/3 Mr Mur Cmr No. 1084 of 24-2-26 states that Mr M Eli's bonus will be decided only on his completing the special duty & requests that the final report may be subd by the 15th march 1926.
	3/3 The final statement has been received, the matter has been worked up and submitted with a draft order adopting the balances now determined by the special duty — Rs. 1,000 duly verified by the Comptroller. The question of the grant of bonus to Mr. Mowbray Jones and the officials of the Comptroller & Mur Cons Dept has to be considered now.
	4/3 The Mur Cmr has suggested the following scale of bonus to the special duty officers & the officials concerned

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Revenue
ariat

File No.

of 192 .

Serial No.

		Rs
1	Mr. Mukiyagalle.	5465
2	V. Narasimhaiyer.	250
3	M. Venkatachar.	100
4	H.C. Vedamurti.	50
5	D. Subba Rao.	77
6	S. Jayarama Rao.	44

As for Nos 2 to 6 all the officers are agreed as to the remn: to be paid to them and they call for no remarks.

3) As regards the remn: recommended for Mr. Mukiyagalle, it is submitted that his appeal dated was forwarded from month to month from the date of his retirement on 26.7.21, as the files placed below show, & that only in may 1922, he offered to work without any remuneration - Vide the Submission note of the then Asst secretary dt 10. May 22, and his offer was accepted. Subsequently the Mun: Supdt, on the representation of Mr. Mukiyagalle, recommended that a remn: of 1000 Rs might be paid to him after the work was finished; and the Comptr by

Mukiyagalle is not strictly entitled to the said Remn:

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 711

of 192 5

Serial No.

XT

This file is [illegible]

In his unofficial note No. 319 dt 3-8-22 Commr considered that the amt was reasonable. It was then ordered by the then Finl Member that this might be considered after the work was satisfactorily completed.

(He has received in the shape of deputation allowance, T/A &c a sum of Rs 5000 already

As according to this order Mr Mayer is entitled to a bonus of Rs 1000 for the special work done by him out of which an advance of Rs 750 has already been paid to him what is still due to him is only Rs 250/- But in consideration of the large amt of work which devolved upon him in connection with the determination of balances of individual institutions &c respectfully submitted that a bonus of Rs 1000/- may perhaps be considered now irrespective of the advance paid to him.

and the long period of 5 years which it took to complete the work.

The bonuses recommended to the other officials may also be passed, the whole amt being debited to the interest earnings of the G. I. P. Railway.

Order solicited

Rev. Secy

30/4

NOTE—Contn Sheet

30/4/26

319

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-2t

Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Want a clear statement of the Mil. Cost of this work regarding the disposition of Mayon balances including the monthly remuneration of Rs 225 per paid to Mr. Mukyunjaya Iyer, his T.A &c - & the ~~amount paid~~ salary of the Special Establishment & the bonus paid. The claim was prepared and recommended by the M.C & Comptr. may also be shown in the Statement.

1.5.26

1/5

X 7 C

Kind reference is invited to the Statement sent up by the Comptroller with his D.O letter No. 1299 of 13-2-26, in which he has given the expenditure incurred on the Special duty under the different heads. To the total amount of Rs 15,106 mentioned by the Comptroller in that Statement, should be added the following amounts (2 to 5 below):

1	Total up to now	15,106-0-0
2	Bonus to Comptroller's office (2 months' pay) Special, Sanctioned, ~~but~~ pay (Draft order pending with Member)	700--
3	Bonus now recommended to Mr. Mukherjee by the M.C.	5465--
4	Bonus (1 months pay now) recommended by the Comptr. to his officials	400--
5	Bonus (1 months pay) now recommended by the M.C. to the S/p: duty Clerks	121

21,792.

1/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet

1/5 (Vb — Rev. Sec'y)

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1925.

Serial No

33 The Muzrai Commissioner recommends that a bonus of Rs.6,215/- be sanctioned to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer for the special duty. The basis for the calculation of the remuneration is explained in the Muzrai Commissioner's D.O. letter of 6th January 1926 A sum of Rs.5,025 is proposed as remuneration for work done, with Rs. 1190 as compensation for loss of privilege leave. Deducting the advance of Rs.750/- already paid, the balance of Rs. 5,465/- is now claimed.

A

34 In Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's note of 30th Decr. 1925 which forms an enclosure to the Muzrai Commissioner's letter the history of the special duty has been given and from a reference to this note it is clear that the work for which the remuneration is now claimed did not begin in May or June 1922, but actually commenced in July 1921 when Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's re-employment on a salary of Rs.225/- in addition to his pension was sanctioned. Under the G.O. of 1st July 1921 Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was re-engaged after retirement for the purpose of working out the correct balances at the credit of the several minor institutions in the State as on 30th June 1920. He was also required to examine the credits and debits of the funds of the two major institutions viz., Sivaganga and Vyasaraya Mutts. His re-employment on monthly salary ceased by the end of April 1922 but the work which was entrusted to him did not however come to a close. In his note dated 1st May 1922 para 9

R

S

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer stated as follows:-

" From the above it will be seen that I have come to the closing stage of the work and its completion is within sight and all that has to be done is to compile and consolidate the collated piecemeal-a purely clerical work".

35 As Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer promised to complete the remaining work without claiming any pay for the additional period the special duty was extended till the end of May 1922. Why the work was not completed and how he continued to be engaged on the special duty are explained by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer in paras 13 to 15 of his note referred to above. It is also stated (vide para 16) that though according to the original engagement his work related to the fixing of balances of minor institutions only, he was asked to take up the compilation of balances of major institutions also and that his work was accordingly "swelled to an enormous extent.

By the end of June 1923 he is reported to have completed the compilation of the statements and determined the balances of institutions on 30th June 1920. His first important report embodying the result of the special duty was submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner on 30th July 1923. This was referred to the Comptroller for scrutiny and verification. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's further work connected with the tracing of untraced items and the verification of his figures with those of the Comptroller and furnishing explanation in respect of

Serial No

the points raised by the Comptroller were all ancillary tohis special work. In the meantime the compilation of the credits and debits of the several institutions for the subsequent five years 1920-21 to 1924-25 was entrusted to a special staff in the Comptroller's Office, and the statements compiled by them were ~~re~~submitted to Government. On the recommendation of the Conference which was appointed to scrutinise the statements prepared by the Special Duty Officer and the Comptroller Mr. Krityunjaya Iyer was entrusted with the additional work of consolidating the figures of the latter five years with the results arrived at by him on certain lines indicated in the resolution of the conference. Mr. Krityunjaya Iyer was engaged on this work for some time and his special duty has finally ceased by 31st March 1926.

36 From the above statement it will be seen that the special duty which commenced in July 1921 has practically taken very nearly five years. I do not think that in the circumstances of this case the remuneration payable to Mr. Krityunjaya Iyer in addition to what he has received as salary can be determined by the duration of the special duty as proposed by the Muzrai Commissioner. I have examined the several notes (vide list attached) submitted by Mr. Krityunjaya Iyer, in connection with his special duty. The first important note is that of 30th July 1923 which embodies the results of his two years' labours in determining the balances of the institutions as on 30th June 1920. As already stated this was

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 192 5.

Serial No

referred to the Comptroller for scrutiny and verification of the figures worked out by him. The several memos prepared thereafter by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer relate to the tracing of certain untraced items or furnish ~~the~~ explanation in answer to the criticisms of the Comptroller on his report. And the final note that was submitted by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was that furnished by the Muzrai Commissioner with his report dated 28th October 1925 when Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's work was practically completed. Of the the 3 items of work entrusted to him he was not able to attend to the overhauling of the accounts of the Vyasarayaswami Mutt. The last note of Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer is dated 31st March 1926 which mainly deals with the consolidation of the figures worked out by him to end of June 1920 with those of the next five years prepared by the Comptroller's Office on the lines indicated by the Conference.

37 According to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's own statement and the Comptroller's recommendation the remuneration for completing the work originally entrusted to him and submitting his final report was fixed at Rs. 1,000/- In view, however, of the facts (1) that certain extra items of work were referred to him and (2) that he had to remain in Bangalore for several months to furnish necessary explanation to the Comptroller, in respect of the figures worked out by him, I would suggest that a lumpsum remuneration of Rs.2,000/- be sanctioned to Mr.Mrityunjaya Iyer for ~~xxxx

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

+ W.

the special work done by him. As in the G.O. of N°. 1050-1/Mue 171-23-2 dt 6.2.24 a sum of Rs.750/- has already been advanced, the balance payable to him will be Rs.1,250/- It was stated in Government Order of 1st July 1921 that his request for compensation for loss of privilege leave as the result of his re-engagement would be considered on the completion of his special duty to the satisfaction of Government by the day specified. The special duty or a monthly salary ended after several extensions on the 30th April 1922 and the first report of the result of his investigation was submitted as already stated only in July 1923. The work in its final shape came to a close by March 1926. There is no doubt that the responsibility for the work having dragged on to such an inordinately long period rests largely on Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer himself. Whether in these circumstances any special addition to the remuneration for work done should be sanctioned as compensation for loss of privilege leave is a matter for the consideration of Government. The Mumbai Commissioner recommends that a sum of Rs.1,190/- may be granted on this account.

Submitted for orders.

3rd The question of bonus to the staff of 1st Member). The Comptroller's office & the Mumbai Commr's office (Vide flag) is also for orders.

7.5.26.

190/8.5 3rd This may be submitted after orders are passed on the determination of the cash balances of the undertakings on or after the end of this month. Whether or not Earlier or by 3rd June 8/5

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

926

File No.

1 App.

of 192

Serial No.

40 The file re determination by Mcy Balances which had been subd to the Dewan Sahb after concurrence of the Financial Secretary to the Govt order, perhaps it is that file; has lately received with order that the Bagasse issues question raised in this file was subd for kind consideration.

Rejce:- Member's orders dated 8th inst.

14/5/26

Rev. Secy)

14/5

Ex. Secy. Member)

14/5

72/17.5

41 Is there no more Work of any Kind to be done by Mr M Iyer? Is there any definite Statement by Mcy Commr to that effect? also by Comptr.? The qn of remuneration can be settled only after Mr M Iyer is Completely relieved of his Work.

that all the Work entrusted to him has been Completed

Mcy

18/5/26

42) The Mcy Commr in his D-O letter No. C. 226 of 22-23 dated the 11th march 1926 says that "so far as Mr. Mudiyanyagam Iyer is "concerned, the work may be considered "to have now been closed. He has "been informed accordingly" The Comptroller in his D.O. letter,

Govt 15/5/26

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No.

X

No. 1527/T&D-B dt. 1.4.26 from the Mines Comr. says that "this work which "has been dragging on for many years "has after all been brought to a stage which "might be treated as final."

This letter is the file re determination of mines balances

(W.S.) A D.O letter to the Mines Comr. (as drafted personally by the Second Member today) is submitted.

18/5/26

(W.S.) P.S. Mr. Mukherjea in his note dt. 31-3-26 says in the last paragraph that "as for myself I shall consider "my work in this respect as closed "with this note." In these circs. it is a point for consn. whether any further reply from the M.C. is necy. & whether the question of bonus may not be considered at once.

This is in the file re determination of mines balances

18/5

Rep. the Rev. Secy.'s Member's minute above (dated 18th inst.)

Rev. Secy. 19/5

Both the Mines Commissioner and the Comptroller have stated to the effect that in working you

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
F. III—2]

File No. 211

925

Serial No.

Syed's work maybe regarded as Completed

2nd member)

182
20.5.26

Kpo.
20.5.26

1/5/ Mr Keller to get a definite answer from both officers. Please write to them, keen officially to save time, and enquire if this is no work of any kind connected with the Settlement of these balances which is to be attended to by Mr Mayer and of the han~~d~~ Completed ~~which~~ all the Work entrusted to him.

20/5

Mrs
26/5/26
10-day L.S

Kpo please draft
26/5/26

Draft
Mr
26/5

LG
D.O., draft) submitted
26/5

D.O to the M.C. No. 1507-8 of 26.5.26.
Enquiries of Mr Mayer whether his work has been completed or

Sh.
27/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

7 From the Comptr Dr. T.R.D. No 1764 d 28.5.26. States that Mr. Mc Zeis' work may be considered as completed 5[1]) Submitted for kind perusal. The Mcz Comrs' reply will be awaited.

BK 1/6/26 5[2]) Yes. It may be expected very shortly. L

For perusal.

Rev. Secy) L

53 Secy Revs 3.6.26

8 From the Mcz Comrs. D.O.No. 226 d 22-23 d 9.6.26 States that so far as Mr. Mc Zeis is concerned all his work may be taken to have been completed.

5[1]) Refd - 2[o] members' minute d 26.5.26. & to the Mcz Comrs and Comptr & Aud Genl - that Mr. Mc Zeis work has been completed. The question of remuneration to him as well as to the officials of the Comptr's & Mcz Comrs' offices may perhaps be taken up soon. Kind reference is invited to the Rev Secy's note d 7.5.26 (page 16 supra) on the subject.

BK 10/6/26 Rev. Secy) L

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

55 Reference is invited to the members minute dated 26.5.26 Both the Commissioner and the Revenue have written to say that Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer's work may be regarded as completed

17.6.26.

2nd member)

17/6

839/19.6.

56 The point to be settled is what remuneration should be allowed to Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer for the work performed by him. The work entrusted to him was allowed to drag on indefinitely and the question of remuneration to be paid to him was also left undecided. Delay and indecision have made the solution of the case difficult.

57 The Muzrai Commissioner has proposed a remuneration of Rs 6,215 for the period from May 1922 to March 1926. Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer was given an allowance of Rs 225 for the period from 26th July 1921 to April 1922, i.e., a sum of Rs 2,069 for this period of 9 months and 6 days, besides a sum of Rs 350 for examining the account of the Gumbaz at Seringapatam. According to the proposal of the Muzrai Commissioner, therefore, the total remuneration to Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer would be Rs 6,215 + Rs 2,069 + Rs 350 = Rs ~~8,364~~ 8,634. This amount is, I think, very high.

58 It is difficult to assess the remuneration payable to Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer as the wor entrusted

Serial No

entrusted to him was not performed continuously and there were intervals during which the work to be attended to by him consisted only in answering points raised by the Muzrai Department or the Comptroller. The total period during which he was engaged on this work was 4 years and 8 months and of this period, 36 months are stated to represent the time spent in doing the work and the balance of 20 months, the period during which he was awaiting consideration of notes submitted by him and asa answering points referred to him.

59 A monthly remuneration of Rs 100 for the active period of 36 months and of Rs 50 for the remaining period of 20 months is, I think, a very fair payment to him. Pensioners cannot always ge employment and a pensioner, who gets an employment under Government for the long period of nearly five years, should consider himself as well treated.

60 The remuneration recommended by the Muzrai Commissioner includes compensation for privilege leave after the age of superannuation claimed by Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer. As he was re-employed for the long period of nearly 5 years, the claim to any compensation for the non-grant of privilege leave is untenable.

61 Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer was paid a sum of Rs 350 for examining the accounts of the Gumbus at Seringapatam. When a monthly remuneration is allowed to him, he cannot claim an additional remuneration for any specific items of work.

62 Calculated on the above lines, the amount payable

Serial No

payable to Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer would be as under:-

	Rs	Rs
Remuneration for the period from 26th July 1921 to 31st March 1926 (56 months and 6 days.)		
Active period - 36 mths. and 6 days @ Rs 100/- per mensem.	3,620.	
Waiting period - 20 mths. @ Rs 50 per mensem.	1,000.	
	-----	4,620.
Deduct.-		
Allowance of Rs 225 p.m. given for 9 months & 6 days from 26th July 1921. ..	2,069.	
Advance allowed to Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer in anticipation of final settlement.	750	
Amount allowed for examining the accounts of the Gumbaz. ..	350	
	-----	3,169
Amount to be paid Rs		1,451

63 In view of all the circumstances of the case, I think a lump sum of Rs 1,500/- may be paid to Mr. Mrithyunjaya Iyer and his case finally closed.

Dewan

25/6/26

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
27. JUN. 1926
Reg No. G393

64 I agree
27.6.26

27/6/26

Dy Secy
2/7/26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Revenue
cre at

Mwr File No 311 of 1925.

Serial No

67 Draft order is submitted for approval.
Para 3 of the draft sanctions the recommendations of the Mysore Govt and Comptroller in respect of the grant of a bonus of a month's salary to the officials of their offices engaged on the special work has been added subject to approval.

SL 7/7/26 The draft may, I think, be amplified, giving the calculations, the items allowed, so as to indicate the basis for the lump sum recommended. (as set out in the Bombay case) Please revise draft accordingly. R.S.

68 The calculations have been appended in the margin of the draft order which is returned herewith. Draft is for approval.

BA 5.7.26 (Para 3 of the draft is put up. Subject to approval)

Rev. Secy

6/7

(Discussed with the Supt.) R

69 I think a Govt is not necessary. A letter to the Mysore Govt. forwarding a lump sum remuneration may perhaps be sent in reply to their letter dated 6th Jan 1926 on this matter. A draft letter is submitted for consideration

2nd member) 7.7.26 Issued 7.7.26

Govt 6.7.26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet 96/27

Serial No	
9	To The Mug Comr. Letter no. 32. dy 7. 7. 1926. States that a fee of Rs 2,250/ would be sufficient remuneration to Mr Mrityunjaya Iyer for the work performed by him since May 1922 and directs that as he has already been given an advance of Rs 750/- the balance of Rs 1500 may now be paid to him, out of the interest earnings of the General Urgent Fund.
10	Fr. The Mug Comr., Letter no. C 226 of 1922-3 dy 6/7/26: Forwards an application from Mr Mrityunjaya requesting an immediate advance of Rs 1500/- may be granted to him pending the issue of final orders in the matter of his bonus, and recommends that his request may be complied with 7/7 Orders, As the final orders passed in the matter of the bonus payable to Mr Mrityunjaya Iyer was communicated to the Mug Comr yesterday, it is for orders if any action is necessary on the present reference. A draft reply is however prepared for orders - 8/7 13 The typed draft reply put up, may be approved & sent. Rev. Secy 9/7 14 Mr Mrityunjaya Iyer saw me and said that

7 Draft revised. Please put up a fresh draft for orders. 8/7

13 Typed copy of the Revised draft is put herewith 9/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

He had seen the member about this present request. He proposes to send a further representation to Govt regarding the bonus and pending final decision he requests that a further advance of Rs 500 maybe sanctioned

A/ This is recommended by the M.C may perhaps be sanctioned

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL, 10 JULY 1926 No 173.

2nd member)

Rs 9726

12/7

77 Typed

78 10-7-26 Avail 10 days. Rs 12726 12/7.

11. From the Mun-Comr No. C 226/22-23 dt 10.7.26 States that Mr. Mc Lee was informed of the Govt sanction the bonus and forwards a representation recd from him & recommends his grant of an Advance of Rs 500 for urgent expenses.

79 Draft letter to the Mug Comr as per order of the member in charge, for approval.

12-7-26. Rev. Secy 12/7

=0 a/w

12 To the Mun Comr No. 67 dt 12-7-26 Rs 12726 Note 1 1886 C 12/7

Requests him to draw upon Rs 500/ advance to Mr. Mc Lee & forward his further representation when recd with his own remarks.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

Lr No M 2 No 67 d 12-7-26
Requests that an advance of Rs 500 may be drawn + paid to Khan M Zaman + that his further representation may be considered

Lr Cmpsh 68 d 12-7-26. Copy of that above.

D.O. C. 226 9 2
one

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th January 1926.

25 10 26
SECTION One
FILE No. 211-28
1.

Dear Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar,

In connection with the special duty of verifying the balances of Muzrai Institutions entrusted to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer, I think it is time that the question of his remuneration should be considered and determined. According to the decisions arrived at the time of the Conference held on the 9th December, it is to be hoped that the entire work will be finished by the end of March 1926.

I enclose herein a note submitted by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer ---- giving details as to how his time was employed on the special work since May 1922. It amounts in all to 47 months till the end of --- March 1926, of which Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has done active work for 23 months, remained in waiting for 20 months, verified the Gumbuz accounts for 2 months, for which he has been separately remunerated and the remaining 2 months are to be counted as free work as per original offer, for which no remuneration is claimed.

For the 23 months of active work, he may be paid at least at Rs.175/- a month, the rate he was allowed for the Gumbuz work and for the -- remaining 20 months during which he was awaiting orders on the --- several notes and accounts submitted by him and replying to intermediate references, it seems to be reasonable that Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer should be paid some retaining fee. I think that Rs.50/- a month will not be too much for this period. The total for 45 months amounts to Rs.5025/-. There is also the question of compensation for the loss of privilege leave referred to in the last para of the Government -- Order of July 1921 and promised to be considered on the completion of the work to the satisfaction of Government. This will amount to Rs.1020/- for 2 months and 8 days.

His request for duty allowance of Rs.75-0-0 a month for the privilege leave period is also a matter for the kind consideration of Government, as no substitute had been appointed. On the whole his claim comes to Rs.6215/-.

Pending orders of Government in respect of his claim- ------

particularly

particularly the privilege leave allowance of Rs.1020/- at Rs. and the duty allowance of Rs.170/- at Rs.75/- a month, I would recommend that he may be given an advance of Rs.1000/- in addition to the first advance of Rs.750/- already paid to him in February 1924.

Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has told me that if the work should -- continue for a short time longer than March 1926, he would complete the work satisfactorily without claiming any further remuneration.

I request that early orders may kindly be passed in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

C R Balasundaram

Details of calculation.

23 months of active work at Rs.175/- a month.		Rs.4025/-
Retaining fee at Rs.50/- a month for 20 months.		1000/-
Compensation for loss of privilege leave		1020/-
Duty allowance for the above period		170/-
	Total.	Rs. 6215
Deduct advance already paid		750/-
	Balance. Rs.	5465

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
First Member of Council,
Bangalore.

P.S. - I would also recommend that the two accountants employed on the work may be paid a bonus of one month's salary each on the completion of the work.

N O T E.

About August 1920 the question of investing the surplus of Muzrai Funds in the 6½% State Loan came up for consideration; and it was then discovered that there were no accounts whatever giving the balances of individual Minor Muzrai Institutions, the Comptroller having maintained Institutionwar accounts only in respect of Major Institutions and treated the Minor Institutions in totals of Taluk Units as directed in the Government Order of 19th March 1904 while the Muzrai Superintendent's office had failed to maintain the accounts of Minor Institutions as directed in that Order and re-iterated in the several other Orders subsequently issued on the subject.

2 It was then resolved to have the balances of Minor Institutions up to 30th June 1920 worked out; I was asked to do the work as I had done it on the previous occasion in 1900. I agreed to do it, if specially deputed.

3 While the question was still pending, orders were separately issued in March 1921, retiring me from the service on the completion of the age of 55 on 26th July 1921. I, at once, applied for the grant of the privilege leave at my credit, preparatory to retirement. On this application, the Government took up the question of the determination of the Muzrai balances and it was proposed to give me a short extension of service to do the work. But the proposal subsequently fell through as it had been

decided to abolish the Under-Secretary-ship. I was then asked if I would do the work after taking my retirement from the regular service, from 26th July 1921, on a salary of Rs.225/- in addition to my pension of Rs.225/-; I agreed on condition that I was given the full pay of the period of privilege leave then at my credit with or without the Duty Allowance of Rs.75/- according to the Rules.

S No 1-10/Mug 618-20-24 The Government then issued an Order, sanctioning my Re-employment, on a salary of Rs. 225/- and adding that "my request about compensation for loss of privilege leave would be considered on the completion of the above work to the satisfaction of the Government by the date specified". The work assigned to me was to examine the credits and the debits of the Minor Muzrai Institutions as entered in the accounts of the several Taluks and Districts-- both in the Muzrai and the Treasury Departments and in the Books of the Comptroller's office from the period on which these accounts were last verified as reviewed in the Government Order of 19th March 1904 and to fix the balances available at the credit of each Individual Institutions on 30th June 1920 reconciling all differences between the local and the Comptroller's accounts in communication with the Comptroller. I was also required to examine the credits and debits of the funds of the Sivaganga Matt and the Vyasaraya Matt-- both Institutions being under the management of Government.

5 The period first sanctioned for the above work was four months as it was expected that proper accounts would be readily available in all the Taluk and the District offices and the Comptroller should have brought up the

balances to 30th June 1904 or a later date as he had been instructed to do in the Government Order of 19th March 1904 and had been given a special establishment for the work. But on actually entering into the work, I found that the Amildars had altogether given up maintaining the accounts of Muzrai Credits, debits and balances; the Deputy Commissioners had ceased to give all thought to Muzrai accounts, as, under the Government Order, ad. the responsibility had been shifted to the Muzrai Superintendent in direct communication with the Amildars; and even the District Treasuries had put by the Subsidiary Registers of Muzrai transactions, which were being kept in the earlier days. Lastly, as regards the Comptroller further work of bringing up the balances of 1900 to a later period, all traces of the compilations made and the results arrived at had completely vanished.

6 The Comptroller's office had maintained separate Muzrai Ledgers regularly only from 1907-08 with opening balances given individually in respect of Major Institutions and in totals of Taluks for Minor Institutions; unless Institutionwar details for those Taluks' totals were worked out, it was not possible to determine the balances Institutionwar at the end of any subsequent year.

7 In these circumstances it became necessary for me to reconstruct the accounts of the first seven years from 1900-01 to 1906-07 in details of individual -- Institutions and this work was done in the manner explained in my several Notes.

8 On my bringing these matters to the notice of Government, the period of my Special Duty was extended from time to time until the end of April 1922. By this

J-Ry.O.6490-500
MA 463-24-7
18-5-22

time I had completed all the detailed compilations of credits and debits Institutionwar for all the twenty years from 1900-01 to 1919-20 and it remained for me only to consolidate the statements and strike the -- balances in continuation of those of June 1900 as given in the statement appended to the Government Order of 19th March 1904.

In regard to the Sivagenga Matt I had completed a detailed examination of the accounts according to the Revenue and Treasury records from the year 1900-01, the Matt balance on 30th June 1900 having been definitely fixed after a detailed special audit; I had only to draw up the final report with the statements that had to go as annexured thereto.

In regard to the Vyasaraya Matt, none of the Taluks had maintained any separate accounts of the collections of the Matt's villages. The Account Registers of the Huzrai Superintendent's office had not been prop rly written up to serve as a reliable data and even the Government Agent would not pay heed to the set up of his accounts though repeatedly instructed by the Huzrai Superintendent; in these circumstances, I had to put by the Matt accounts contenting myself with collating for future reference, if required, all such items of Matt credits and debits as could be readily found in the Treasury Credit Statements and the Bills of Payments filed in the Comptroller's office.

Having regard to the progress of the work as above, and what had remained to be done yet, I had intended to apply to Government for a short extension of the term of the Special Duty; but no opportunity was given to me as, just two days before the expiry of the term sanctioned,

I was suddenly informed that I must close work handing over all the compilations to the Comptroller.

10 I found myself in a fix; my compilations were all a voluminous mass of rough sheets which could not be put into shape by any one who had not been at it from the very beginning and it was hopeless to expect the Comptroller to put them into shape and weave out any tangible results: all the work till then done would be practically lost.

11 I then represented to the Government how matters stood and offered to put the rough compilations into clear -- statements in a form that could be easily worked up to the final stage if the Government would only be pleased to continue the services of the Special Duty accountants for about two months, myself claiming no remuneration for this period. My offer was accepted.

M 4187/Mug
463-21-6
854-5-22

12 In these two months, I completed the work in respect of the Sivaganga Matt accounts and submitted my final report to the Government.

In regard to the accounts of Minor Muzrai Institutions all the compilations were clearly tabulated; and just to show how the results of the work done could be materialised into a final shape, I worked out the -- figures of Hassan and Tumkur Districts and submitted them to Government explaining how the accounts might be finally completed.

13 Early in July 1922 I submitted a Note to Government explaining the work done in the preceeding two months and how I had put the whole into a shape which could be easily pursued to completion by the Comptroller. In this Note I definitely stated as follows:--

As for completing the work of the other Districts

I leave the matter to the pleasure of Government. If be the pleasure of Government that I should myself c the work I shall submit to the decision; and I shall request that the Government will be graciously pleased to grant me some honorarium in consideration of the costly life at Bangalore in addition to the bonus already promised in consideration of the privilege leave surrendered by me when I retired from the regular service in July last.

x x x

But if the Government should prefer to leave the remainin work into the hands of the Comptroller, I shall proceed to wound up my work here and hand over to him all the statements and other compilations."

14 The question was then discussed in consultation with the Financial Secretary; it was estimated that the further work would take about four or five months. The Muzrai Superintendent and Secretary personally offered to me a bonus of Rs.1,000/- for the work in addition to the -- compensation for the privilege leave surrendered. I agreed and I was asked to proceed with my work, the services of the Special Duty accountants being continued.

15 My work related only to Minor Institutions. By the end of September 1922, I had completed it so far that it remained for me only to note down the closing balances of these Institutions on 30th June 1920 and to draw up a statement of the differences as between my compilations and the Comptroller's ledgers explaining as far as possible how these differences had arisen.

16 But when I placed my work before the Muzrai Commissioner (Mr.K.R.SRINIVASIENGAR), he instructed me to extend the final compilations and determination of balances to Major Institutions also, the compilations being made in two forms-- one giving the credits and debits of all

the Institutions, year by year, for all the twenty years from 1900-01 to 1919-20 and the other an abstract thereof also in details of individual Institutions, both Major and Minor, giving the opening balances of 1900-01, the totals of the cash grants, the credits and debits for the twenty years from 1900-01 to 1919-20 and the closing balances on 30th June 1920.

17 This swelled my work to an enormous extent. The labour involved in the correct compilation of such elaborate statements in respect of 3500 Institutions is better imagined.

18 In entering the cash grants, I was greatly handicapped for want of ready information about the variations in the Ready Money Grants, due to the re-organization of some Talukas, Transfers of grants from Institutions to Institutions, fresh grants etc since 1905 when the registers originally issued by the Inam Department were revised and reprinted by the Muzrai Superintendent in communication with the Inam Superintendent; I experienced considerable difficulty in collecting complete information from stray notes recorded here and there; and not to throw away the work so done, I revised an old printed copy of the register so as to bring it up-to-date and submitted it to the Muzrai Commissioner with a suggestion to have it reprinted in communication with the Inam Department. I understand that the suggestion has been accepted and that the work has been entrusted to the Inam Superintendent.

19 Another embarrassing work in this connection was the distribution of the amounts invested prior to 1900 out of the balances on 30th June 1900, the figures printed in the last column of the statements annexed to the Government Order of 19th March 1904 being mostly incorrect misprints; and particular difficulty was experienced in --

respect of the investments originally made in State Railway Debentures of 1881 at a time when even the District boundaries were different from what they are now. The details of these investments were, however, traced after a laborious scrutiny of certain old records on which I happened to lay my hands by sheer chance. In short, the work of the final compilations on the lines prescribed by the Muzrai Commissioner proved in itself a huge task; at the most critical moment both the accountants Messrs. Subba Rao and Krishnaswami Rao who had all along worked with me fell ill, one after the other, and I was left to get through the work, as best as I can, with a solitary hand (Mr. Jayarama Rao), altogether new to the work. Being also constantly pressed to complete the work early, I had to sit to it myself without a day's rest or holiday; and by the end of June 1923, I managed to complete the statements and determine the balances on 30th June 1920.

Early in July 1923, I submitted the final statements to the Muzrai Commissioner with a report explaining what all had been done from the very beginning of the Special Duty and also a few items of work that yet remained to be attended to.

These items were:--

i. To trace the items entered in the statements as "UNTRACED"

ii. To work out Institutionwar details for the balances of Rs.47,907-13-8 and Rs.41,628-15-1 that stood on 30th June 1900 at the credit of certain Institutions in the Districts of Mysor and Hassan under a separate head of accounts designated "RECEIPTS OF INSTITUTIONS UNDER THE TEMPORARY MANAGEMENT OF THE GOVERNMENT" apart from the surplus funds of Muzrai Institutions proper covered by the balances accepted and reviewed in the G.O. of 19th March 1904.

iii. To obtain and incorporate in the statements details of credits from 1900-01 to 1903-04 of a few Talukas, the Amildars of which have failed to furnish the information in spite of repeated requisitions from me and of orders from the Muzrai Commissioner.

21 As the foregoing work could have been attended to by the accountants themselves, I requested the Muzrai Commissioner to consider the question of my bonus.

22 In reply, I was informed that my work should be verified by the Comptroller and that in the meanwhile I might attend to the items of work still remaining to be done as stated above.

23 I attended to this till about the end of October 1923 by which time several untraced items were traced from the Comptroller's old Books and files; the particulars of credits due from some Talukas were also obtained and incorporated in the statements. Towards the end of October 1923, I was asked by the Muzrai Commissioner to take up the accounts of the Gunbuz and determine the correct balance at its credit, as a matter of immediate importance, keeping by all other work. The work took me two months--November and December 1923.

5-82-3 24
75-22-24
8.10.23

In the meanwhile the statements submitted by me were passed on to the Comptroller; and with reference to the verification thereof, it was ordered by the Government after some correspondence that the Deputy Comptroller should sit with me for a few days and examine the work done, particularly in respect of the accounts of the first seven years from 1900-01 to 1906-07 wherein lay chiefly the differences between my compilations and the Comptroller's Books.

25 Early in January 1924, the verification of the

accounts of the Gumbuz worked out by me was taken up by the Comptroller's office, myself being present; the work was completed in three or four days and final orders thereon, accepting the results arrived at by me were issued by the Government soon afterwards.

The Deputy Comptroller next took up the verification of the accounts of Muzrai Institutions and I was asked to send away the Special Duty accountants to the Comptroller's office for further work there. I went to the office too, expecting that the verification would be done by the Deputy Comptroller, sitting with me, as ordered by the Government. But the Deputy Comptroller referred the whole to his Superintendent, who took up the work in a way, that my presence was considered superfluous. So I kept back, awaiting the results of the verification carried on by the Comptroller's office. I had to wait for over a year, replying to references now and then made to me, through the Muzrai Commissioner on various matters of differences; and towards the end of March 1925, the statements of balances finally proposed by the Comptroller, on the basis of those worked out by me, were passed on to me by the Muzrai Commissioner. The scrutiny of these statements was a work of some labour involving a careful check of the figures and reconciliation of the apparent differences; it took me three months to complete it as I had to do most of the work single-handed, without even clerical help, the accountants after a few days' work with me having been urgently called away for other work in the Comptroller's Office. My work report on the Comptroller's statements was submitted early in July 1925.

Again I had to stay on awaiting the orders of the Government on my report; the orders were received at the end of November; and now again, as decided at the Conference held on 9th instant, I have been assigned some further work

which would engage me, perhaps, till the end of March next, though I shall try my best to finish it much earlier.

28 As the two Special Duty accountants have been again deputed for work under me, I shall avail myself of their services to work out also from the Taluk Revenue records complete statements of the revenue collections of the Inam villages of Vyasaraya Matt, during the 17 years of Government management thereof, from 1905-06 to 1921-22, with full particulars of the credits in the Treasury so that I could also verify the Matt accounts and determine the correct amount of surplus to its credit-- a work which has been long pending consideration on my report submitted so far back as July 1924 when I have asked for a special remuneration for the work with an establishment for three months, costing in all Rs. 1,500/-.

29 As this work will merge in the additional work to be done over Kuzrai Accounts, I have no objection to waive my request for a special remuneration in respect of the Matt accounts though it is open to Government to debit portion of my bonus to the Matt Funds.

30 I now beg leave to request that the question of my bonus may be kindly taken into consideration.

A n a l y s e d, my position has been as follows:--

(a) May & June 1922--	2 months ..	Free of remuneration as voluntarily offered by me to put into shape the compilations that had been made.
b) July 1922 to June 1923 ---	12 months	Tight active work. Completing all the statements prescribed and the determination of Institutionwar balances.
) July to Oct.23--	4 months.	Further work of tracing untraced items etc.
) Nov.& Dec.23--	2 months.	Gumbuz work (separately remunerated)
) Jan.24 to March/25.	15 months.	Awaiting the results of the Compiroller's verification, replying to references now and then made- with a trip of four days to Hassan to work out Institutionwar details for balance on 30th June 1900 under the head "TEMPORARY MANAGEMENT".

(f) April-June/25-- 3 months — S ised and reported on the troller's statements of proposed balances.

(g) July-Nov./25 5 months — Awaiting orders on the above.

(h) Dec/25 to March/26. 4 months — Further work to be done including the accounts of the Vyasaraya Matt.

Total 47 months distributed as hereunder:--

2 months--Free work as per initial offer.

23 months-- Active work.

2 months-- Gumbaz accounts (separately remunerated)

20 months-- W a i t i n g.

47 months.

31 I leave it to the pleasure of the Government to guage magnitude and responsibility of the work done, living a the while in a costly place like Bangalore, without any remuneration whatever since May 1922 except a bonus of Rs.350/- paid to me for Gumbaz Accounts work done in Nov and December 1923.

32 I leave it to the Government to determine the amount of bonus at their pleasure taking into their gracious consideration what it would have cost them if a Gazetted Officer of sufficient experience had been specially employed exclusively on the work and also the sacrifices I have had to make over my domestic affairs and liberty of movements as a pensioner particularly during the long period of the enforced stay at Bangalore simply awaiting orders and replying to references now and then made to me.

33 On my application made in December 1923, the Governm have been pleased to sanction the payment of Rs.750/- to an advance out of the bonus to be determined at the close all work connected with the Special Duty.

34 I also beg leave to invite reference to the promise extended to me-both at the outset in July 1921 and subsequently in July 1922, of a compensation for the privilege leave, I had had to surrender, by being required to take up the Special Duty immediately from the date of my retirement from the regular

service on 26th July 1921. The period of this privilege leave is, as far as I gather, two months and ~~nine~~ eight days.

A. Bourdillon

Dated Bangalore
30th Decr. '25.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 823.

Section Mug.
File No. 211–2
Seria No. – 2 –
D C No. 1015

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mug)
the 192

To The Inspector-General of Registration
Bangalore

Date of issue 8/2/26

Signed by M E B. S

Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No C 226 of 22 2386, in the matter of frequent of Bonds to the Inspector-General of Registration and his staff in connection with the special duty of determining the balances of allegation distributions ~~on which the Bonds were placed~~ during, I am directed to state that the question of Bonds can be settled only after the work entrusted to the Inspector-General of Registration is completed and to

over

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

required to clear the fiscal reports
on the completion of his work
Mag. Lee Sec'd. Millcourt Delegs.
&c. &c. The Mailer Eastbourne been long
pending.

Yours Sincerely,

Secretary
Rev. Dept

EH
4/2/26

89
4/2/26

2 Files

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Section Thuj

File No. 211-25

Serial No. — 2 3

D.O.

D.O. No. 1016 to the Comptr

Date of issue 8/2/26

To Messrs,

Signed by Mr. B.S.

Govt desire to know the total ~~amount~~ of expenditure incurred up to date in connection with the special duty of determining the balances at the credit of Messrs Dobbs entrusted to Mr. Mistry for some after his retirement in May 1921 with a special establishment for the purpose. The ~~Regular~~ Staff compiled by him were subsequently verified in your office when a temporary staff was also entertained specially for the work. over. I am directed to request you.

VD C332-C B—18C (—16 8 25

E 65

Writing herewith a
Statt. showing the Expenditure
incurred on the special
duty from the Commencement
up to date, under the
several heads of Salaries,
D/A. Contingencies, Houses &c
both for Office and in
that of the Mag. Cons. where
the special duty Officer
has been working. (A very
early reply is requested.

Yours truly
Signed for

The Cost incurred in working out the Credit Debit Statements for the preceding 6 years by your office staff may also be furnished separately

List of enclosures.

4/2

Rec'd 2

4/2

Ed
4.2.76

T. Gallagher Esq Asst.

Copied by

Examined by

D. O. No. 1299 (Muzrai) T.R. 6-(13)

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 13th February 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
17 FEB 1926
MUZRAI D. 926

Dear sir,

Muz. 211-25-4

Your D.O.No. 1016/Muz 211-25 dated 8th February 1926, A statement showing the total expenditure incurred up-to-date in connection with the special duty of determining the balances of Muzrai Institutions is appended.

It is not known whether any journey was performed by the Officials of the Muzrai Commissioner's Office, in connection with this work, subsequent to June 1922, and the claim included in the Travelling Allowance Bills, of the Office. Information in this respect has to be obtained from the Muzrai Commissioner's Office.

Yours sincerely,

T. Satyanarayanachetty

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq.,
B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Secretary to Government,

Bangalore.

Statement showing the total expenditure incurred up to date for Verification of Inungrai balances.

Particulars	Salaries	Travelling Allowances	Bonus	contg.	Total	Remarks
~~For arriving at the balance on 30-6-1920.~~	~~2069~~	~~1619~~	~~750~~	~~51~~	~~4489~~	
Special Duty officer	2069	1619	750	51	4,489.	
Establishment (Temporary)	1302	574				
Establishment of inungrai Commissioner's office deputed for compiling statements of Receipts and Payments for 5 years from 1920-21 July 1921 to Nov 24	4899		550	-	7,531	
Deputation allowance from July 21 to May 22	206					
Comptroller's officer (including temporary Establish	1297.	-	-	-	1,297	
Establishment of inungrai commissioner's office deputed. (December 24 to 5)	1439	-	-	-	1,439	
Remuneration paid to Special Duty officer for Verification of Grumbag Funds Accounts.			350		350.	
Total	11,212	2,193	1,650	51	15,106	

V Narayana Iyengar

For Deputy Comptroller

/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

Section Mer

File No. 211-25

Serial No. 1-5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 1084

Date of issue 24/2/26

To The Mag Cuttack

Signed by Mr N.

In continuation of
~~this~~ their letter no. 1015
Mer 211-25
of 8th Feb 26 regarding the
Sp[illegible] duty report of Mr. M Zai,
I am directed to state that
the question of Mr. M Zai
Bonus will be decided
only on his ~~submitting~~
~~his final report~~ Completing
the special duty ~~&~~ with
~~proper acceptance of~~
~~final statement~~ for publication

VD 0692-G B—18[illegible] 16 8 28

And Request that the
the final report from
maybe Act. from him
Submitted to Govt
by the 15th March 1926 Thiruvalla

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

22/2

Revd

22/2/26

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

VI. Gl.]

51

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mug

File No. 211—25

Serial No. —1

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

DO No. Immediate
1507-3

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To (1) The Mug Commr

.....

(2) The Comptroller

Date of issue.. 26/5/25

Signed by.. for C.R.S.

Dear Sir

In connection with the consideration of the question of granting a bonus to Mr Mirijampet on special duty for the settlement of Margosa balances of Mungari Fund I am desired to enquire if there is no work of any kind connected with the settlement of these balances still to be attended to by him and requested to state if he has

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

over

Completed all the work enclosed
to him.

This may be handed
to Kerr as immediate ~~and confidential~~

~~Frankfurt~~

26/5

Muz 241-25-7 53

D. O. No. TAD.B.1764. GEN. & REV. SECRET 31 MAY 1926 OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 28th May 1926.

MUZRAI D. 1434

Dear Sir,

Your D.O.letter No.1507-8/Muz.211-25 dated 26th May 1926, enquiring if the work entrusted to Mr.Krityunjaya Iyer in connection with the verification of balances of Muzrai Institutions has been completed by him.

In Government Order No.1-10/Muz.618-20-2 dated 1st July 1921, the following work was entrusted to him.

(i) Fixing balances at the credit of Individual Institutions as on 30th June 1920.

(ii) Examination of the accounts of the Sri Sivaganga Matt.

(iii) Examination of the accounts of the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt.

Of these item No.(i) viz fixing balances at the credit of individual institutions, has been completed. The balances were brought up to 30th June 1925 and the difficult portion of the work was done in my office as reported to Government from time to time. Government have also ordered the adoption of the balances fixed by this office. (Vide G.O.No.1475-6/Muz.271-25-2 dated 19th May 1926.

2.

57

2. Item No.(ii) viz examination of the accounts of Sri Virajendra Matt was attended to by Mr.Mrityunjaya Iyer and it was seen from the unofficial file sent up to this office for opinion that he arrived at varying results from time to time and the matter does not appear to have been finally disposed of by Government.

3. It is not known whether item No.(iii) viz examination of the accounts of Sri VyasarayaSwami Matt was attended to by him.

4. As the institutions referred to in the paras (2) &(3) above have been included among Muzrai Institutions and their balances fixed along with other institutions in Government Order No.1475-6/Muz.271-25-2 dated 19th May 1926 the work should be treated as completed.

Yours sincerely,

T. Vaidyanathaiyer

C.Anjaneya Rao Sahib Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

13.5.26 — 14/5/26

D.O. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

2266/22-23 Dated Bangalore, 9th June 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
0148
JUN 1926
MUZRAI
Muz. 211-15-8

Dear Sir,

Your D.O.letter No.1507-8/Muz.211-25 26th Ultimo in re the completion of his work Mrityunjaya Iyer.

In reply, I write to say that all work connected with the settlement of balances of Muzrai Institutions may be taken to have been completed so far as Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer is concerned.

Yours sincerely,

C S Balasundaram

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

CRao

9.6.26

Muz 9/6

32

MRz 211-25-9

8 July 1925

Sd. Mr Navamithan Naidu

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No. 226 of 22-23 dated 6th January 1926 forwarding your proposals in regard to the remuneration to be paid to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer for verifying the balances ~~at the credit of the~~ Muzrai institutions, I am directed to state that - Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was employed on special duty for this purpose after his retirement on 26th July 1921, up to the end of April 1922, on a salary of Rs.225 per mensem. At the close of the period, it was reported that the work remaining to be done was merely collating information already collected and was purely of a clerical nature. Further, it was understood that Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer offered his services for supervising this work free of charge. The work has however, dragged on up to March 1926, a good part of the time having been spent by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer in merely waiting for instructions. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was employed during this period, for ~~a period of~~ about 2 months, in overhauling the accounts of the Gumbaz at Seringapatam for which he has already been ~~separately~~ paid a fee of Rs.350.

Taking all these circumstances into consideration, Government consider that a fee of Rs.2,250 would be a sufficient remuneration ~~for the trouble taken by~~ to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer for the work performed by him since May 1922, exclusive of the period in which he was engaged on the accounts of the Gumbaz at Seringapatam and for which he has been separately paid. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has already been ~~paid~~ given an advance of Rs.750 and the balance

of Rs.1,500 may now be paid to him. I am state that this amount may be paid out of interest earnings of the General Muzrai Fun

I have etc

(Yours sincerely,)

CRR

6.7.26

mwk
7/7/26

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

No. 33 & 7-7-26.

Copy to the comptr

CRR

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th July 1926.

REV. SECRETARIAT
6 JUL 1926
MUZRAI
D. 23

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

R 3226/26

Referring to correspondence ending with my D.O. letter C.3.296/25-26 dated the 9th ultimo in the matter of the ---- completion of Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's special duty, I have the honor to forward herewith an application of Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer requesting the immediate grant of an advance of Rs.500/- for certain urgent expenses of his own and to recommend that in the circumstances explained in the application, his request may be kindly granted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(S) C. Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore. 94

Sir,

Now that the Government have passed final orders -- about the balances of Muzrai Institutions., I request that the question of my bonus may be kindly settled early.

My sixtieth Birthday is fast approaching and the ---- Shastipurti Santhi functions will be celebrated from the 19th to 21st Instant at Cuddalore where my son is District Court - Shirestedar.

The celebrations, as you are aware, will cost between four to five hundred rupees even on the most modest scale. I request that in case the issue of final orders on the ----- question of my bonus is likely to take some time, I may be -- kindly granted an advance of Rs.500/- to meet the emergency of Shastipurti celebrations. I have till now been given -- one advance of Rs.750/- over two years ago.

Having regard to the very short time before me, this present application may be kindly treated as one of immediate urgency.

I beg to remain Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. Subbayya

Bangalore.
Dated 5th July 1926.

No. C226-22-23
one

01680

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th July 1926.

To

D-44

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore.

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to your letter No.32/Mur.211-25 dated the 7th instant in re the remuneration to be paid to Mr. A. Krishnaraja Iyer for verifying the balances of Muzrai Institutions, I have the honor to state that Mr. Krishnaraja Iyer was placed at the disposal of Government. He has now submitted the accompanying representation and requests the grant of an advance of Rs.500/- at present.

I beg to recommend that in the circumstances, the advance prayed for may be kindly sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

CS Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

Nagar

Forwarded Govt. advance 8/7/26

Bangalore 9th July 26

C226/22-23

To

The Muzrai Commissioner

Bangalore

158

Sir

I am in receipt of your D.O of Yesterday's date Communicating to me the decision of the Govt in the matter of the remuneration for the duty work done by me -

Reading the observations of the Govt cited therein I repeat to submit that in arriving at the decision in question, the Govt have not had before them a full and correct conception of the facts. Relating to the work done by me subsequent to April 1922.

I am therefore submitting

to give a further representation
explaining the vital points of
my claim and requesting
a favourable reconsideration
of the orders now passed and
pray that pending such further
orders I may be kindly excused
from drawing the account
now passed in full discharge of
my claim

As however this would take
some time and as in the meantime
I stand in urgent need for the expenses
of my Sixtieth Birthday, I request that
sanction may be kindly obtained
to the grant of the advance of Rs 500.
which I have recently applied for

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
A Brierley

63

APP(V). VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Misc.

File No. 211-25

Serial No. 12

No. ~~11~~ 67

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Mag Conr

Date of issue. 12-7-26

Signed by Narain Dhan Naidu

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C. 226 of 22-23 dt. 7th & 10th July 1926, enclosing a note recd. from Mr. Mutt. & stating that he would submit a further representation in the matter of the remuneration Sanctd. & this in this Office letter no. 32 Mag 211-25 dt. 7.7.26 and recommended that an Advance of Rs 50 may as requested by him

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

over

64

be paid to him pending investigation
Orders on his representation
~~on the further representation~~
~~he will submit~~, I am
directed to state that the
advance of Rs 500/- may be
drawn and paid to Mr. M Ze
as early as possible. His
representation may when
received be dealt with &
duly forwarded
thereon.

Yours etc

12/7 Rec'd 12726
12-7-26
Copy to Mr. Christopher &
Co for info & necessary action

12/7 Rec'd 12726
12/7/26